UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,423	09/22/2006	Alain-Dominique Jean-Pierre Gorse	05796.0016.PCUS01	1087
27194 HOWREY LLF	7590 09/17/201 P-CA	0	EXAM	INER
	C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924		BRUSCA, JOHN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/582,423	GORSE, ALAIN-DOMINIQUE JEAN-PIERRE	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John S. Brusca	1631	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>		
(1) <u>John S. Brusca (USPTO)</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Kate Berezutskaya</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>14 September 2010</u>	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Written description of claim 33 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first parage	raph		
Claims discussed: 33			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/John S. Brusca/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Pursuant to an initial phone call placed by Examiner Brusca on 23 August 2010 regarding possible amendments to claim 33 that would overcome the rejection for lack of written description, the applicants responded on 14 September 2010 with a proposed amendment to claim 33 that would limit the target molecule to proteins. The examiner stated that proteins were a genus limitation for which a representative number of species would need to be described. Because the specification only describes antibodies as target molecules, the genus of proteins is not sufficiently described to support the proposed amendment. The applicants stated they would further consider an amendment to claim 33 that would overcome the rejection for lack of written description.